

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,826	12/06/2005	Yasuhiko Otsubo	960/197	960/197 3639	
23838 KENVON & K	7590 12/29/2006		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.			NGUYEN, TU MINH		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
W15111.V515	31, 20 2000		3748		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	12/29/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercisions for many be available under the production of 37 CFR 1.13601, in no event, however, may a reply be simply lifed. If NO period for rigby is specified above, the maintain statutory period with apply and will expire SN; (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failur to reply which the sort or extended period for rigby is specified above, the maintain statutory period with apply and will expire SN; (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failur to reply which the sort or extended period for rigby is specified above, the maintain statutory period with apply and will expire SN; (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failur to reply which the sort or extended period for rigby will, by statuke, cause the application (5.0 to 3.0 to 3		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
To M. Nguyen To M. SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. H. No period for reply is prodried above. the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. H. No period for reply is appointed above. the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. H. No period for reply is appointed above. the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. H. No period for reply is appointed before the replace appointed to be expended to the semiling date of this communication. H. No period for reply is application is of the communication of the semiling date of this communication. H. No period for reply is application is one of the communication of the semiling date of this communication. The semiline se		10/559,826	OTSUBO ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Bedies of ore may be exhibited under the provincious of 37 CPR 11-301, in no event, nower, may a reply be timely filled. **If NO period for reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. **Failur for reply which the set or exhemited period for reply will, by statute, cause the application for communication, even if simely filled, may reduce any serving patient ame applicant. **Set TOR 1, 7-907. **Status** **IND Responsive to communication(s) filled on **14 November 2006.** **29 This action is FINAL. **29 This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partie Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** **4 Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. **4 Of the above claim(s) is/are rejected. **7 Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. **7 Claim(s) 1,3-7,8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. **7 Claim(s) 1,3-7,8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. **7 The drawing(s) filled on **95 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. **Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). **Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). **The drawing(s) filled on **95 December 2005 is/are: a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). **a) All b) Some **c) Some **c) Mone of: **1 Certified copies of the priority documents have be	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extractions of terre may be available useful the provisions of 37 CPR 1 136(a). In or event, however, may a retry be termily filed. - Extraction of the provision of the provisions of 37 CPR 1 136(a). In or event, however, may a retry be termily filed. - If 10 period to reply is specified above, the maximum station port of will pay and will expire \$(K) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by athietic, cause the application to become ARAHOONED (35 U.S. £, 133). Any reply secretable in the second provision of the communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by athietic, cause the application to become ARAHOONED (35 U.S. £, 133). Any reply secretable in the second provision of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by athietic, cause the application to become ARAHOONED (35 U.S. £, 133). Any reply secretable in the mailing date of this communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended provision of the communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended provision of the communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended provision of the communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended provision of the communication. - Falluse to reply within the set or extended provision is non-final. - Application of Claims - Application of Claims - Application of Palluse and the transfer is expected to the provision of the developed provision of the provision of the developed provision of the provision of the developed provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of the develope							
WHICHEVER IS CONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elemenions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFt 1:13(b). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (§) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failurs for recy when the set of excelled period for regy will. by statistic, excelled period for regy will be provided by the other period for region of the maintain statistic closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2.5-8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4) □ The drawing(s) filled on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application N	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	s			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** 1) Notice of Pratsperson's Palant Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Dratsperson's Palant Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Dratsperson's Palant Drawin	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 16(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3	Status		:				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No	ovember 200 <u>6</u> .					
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Prafsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Drafsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	,— ,						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•	· ·					
4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	•						
4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	D. W. 6011						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	·						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06_ December 2005_ is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \$\overline{06} \overline{06}	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08)	•						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	e e				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	,		objected to by the Examiner				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application				.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52 .			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	•		. ;				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application		priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		•			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	је			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)	🗖					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on November 14, 2006 has been entered. Claims 3, 4, and 9 have been canceled; and claims 1, 7, and 11 have been amended. Overall, claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10, and 11 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawashima et al. (U.S. Patent 6,851,258) in view of Tashiro et al. (U.S. Patent 6,622,480) and Schaller et al. (U.S. Patent 6,948,311).

Re claims 1, 7, and 11, as shown in Figures 1, 4, 5, and 8-11, Kawashima et al. disclose an exhaust purifying apparatus and an exhaust gas purifying method for an internal combustion engine, the apparatus comprising:

- an estimation unit (see Figure 8) that estimates an accumulation amount of particulate matter trapped about a catalyst (41) in an exhaust system based on a pressure loss across the catalyst, and

Art Unit: 3748

- a control unit (31),

wherein, when the pressure loss is equal to or more than a permissible value (step S13 with YES answer), the control unit executes PM elimination control (step S15) for supplying unburned fuel component to the catalyst to increase the temperature of the catalyst and burning the trapped particulate matter (see lines 52-62 of column 13), and

wherein, when execution of the PM elimination control becomes possible (step S41 with NO answer, step S45 with YES answer, and step S46) after suspension of the control (step 42 with YES answer, step S43 with NO answer, and step S44), the control unit resumes the PM elimination control even if the accumulation amount of particulate matter about the catalyst is less than the permissible value (see Figures 5, 9, and 10 and line 23 of column 9 to line 67 of column 10).

Kawashima et al., however, fail to disclose that instead of the pressure loss, the accumulation amount is used to initiate PM elimination control; that the estimated accumulation amount is set to zero at the completion of the PM elimination control; and that at a final stage of the PM elimination control when the estimated accumulation amount is slightly more than zero, the apparatus executes burn-up control, in which performance and stopping of concentrated intermittent fuel addition to a section of the exhaust system that is upstream of the catalyst are repeated a predetermined number of times.

As shown in Figures 1 and 8, Tashiro et al. disclose a method to control the regeneration of a particulate filter (4). As depicted as step S21 in Figure 8, Tashiro et al. teach that it is conventional in the art to estimate an accumulation amount (PMs) of particulate matter in the filter and when PMs is greater than or equal to a threshold value (PMmax), a PM elimination

Art Unit: 3748

control is initiated. Also in step S36, Tashiro et al. also teach that the estimated accumulation amount is set to zero at the completion of the PM elimination control. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching by Tashiro et al. in the apparatus and method of Kawashima et al., since the use thereof would have been routinely practiced by those with ordinary skill in the art to control a regeneration step of a particulate filter.

As shown in Figure 1, Schaller et al. disclose a method to control the regeneration of a particulate filter (115b). As illustrated in Figure 3, Schaller et al. teach that it is conventional in the art to intermittently inject a fuel into an exhaust stream ahead of the filter at a final stage (third phase) of a particulate matter elimination control when an accumulation amount of particulate matter in the filter is slightly more than zero in order to maintain the filter at a desired temperature range (also see the Abstract and claims 1 and 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching by Schaller et al. in the apparatus and method of Kawashima et al., since the use thereof would have been routinely practiced by those with ordinary skill in the art to prevent excessive temperature rise in a filter during its regeneration.

Re claims 2 and 8, in the modified apparatus and method of Kawashima et al., when resuming the PM elimination control, the smaller the accumulation amount, the shorter the time for execution of the PM elimination control is set by the apparatus (see for example, Figure 9).

Re claims 5 and 10, in the modified apparatus and method of Kawashima et al., the apparatus discretely increases the temperature of the catalyst after resuming the PM elimination control, as clearly shown in Figure 9.

Art Unit: 3748

Re claim 6, the modified apparatus of Kawashima et al.:

- burns unburned fuel collected on the catalyst in an early stage of the increase in the catalyst temperature (lines 52-62 of column 13); and

- further increases the catalyst temperature thereafter, thereby burning particulate matter collected on the catalyst.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the references applied in the previous Office Action have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Schaller et al. fail to disclose or teach "concentrated intermittent fuel addition that is repeated a predetermined number of times" (page 5 of the Applicant's Amendment), the examiner respectfully disagrees.

Figure 3 in Schaller et al. shows an amount of additional quantity of fuel (QZ) (the vertical axis) as a function of time (the horizontal axis). At time t3 and as indicated on lines 1-3 of column 8, the quantity QZ in Schaller et al., which is shown as the dotted lines, is said to be briefly set to a constant value (emphasis added). This means that there are intervals of time, the quantity QZ in Schaller et al. is a constant value; and there are other intervals of time, the quantity QZ is zero. Moreover, claim 4 in Schaller et al. clearly states that in a third phase (at time t3), a quantity of uncombusted fuel (i.e., additional quantity of fuel) is intermittently set to a constant value (emphasis added). The phase "intermittent" is defined in a dictionary as "coming and going at intervals: not continuous". This definition alone emphasizes that in Schaller et al.,

Art Unit: 3748

the quantity QZ is "a concentrated intermittent fuel addition that is repeated a predetermined number of times".

Therefore, Schaller et al. clearly teach the claimed limitation in dispute.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMN

December 22, 2006

Tu M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Tu M. Nguyen

Art Unit 3748